Recommendations of the SEC meeting to examine IND proposals, made in its 36<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 05.06.2023, 12:00 Noon at CDSCO, HQ New Delhi, through Webex (Video Conference):

| Sr.<br>No. | File Name & Drug<br>Name, Strength  | Firm Name                    | Recommendations   |  |  |  |
|------------|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|            | New Drugs Division  |                              |   |  |  |  |
| 1.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/23/000041<br>Levormeloxifene<br>fumarate Tablets<br>15mg | M/s Cipla Ltd.               | The firm presented its proposal for<br>conduct of Phase I clinical trial Study,<br>"A randomised, single dose, oral, open<br>label, two treatment, parallel<br>pharmacokinetic study between the<br>test product, Levormeloxifene 15 mg<br>tablet and the reference product,<br>Saheli (Ormeloxifene also known as<br>Centchroman) 30 mg tablet<br>administered in healthy adult female<br>subjects under fasting condition" along<br>with in-vitro and in-vivo preclinical data<br>of Ormeloxifene before the committee.<br>The committee noted that<br>Ormeloxifene (racemic mixture, i.e.,<br>50% of Levo and 50% of Dextro) is<br>already approved by CDSCO and now<br>the firm has requested for waiver of<br>non-clinical studies for<br>Levormeloxifene.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee agreed to the firm's request<br>for the waiver of non-clinical studies of<br>Levormeloxifene and recommended<br>for grant of permission to conduct the |  |  |  |
|            |   |                              | Phase I Clinical trial as per the presented protocol.   |  |  |  |
|            |   |                              | Dr. S. K. Rath CSIR-CDRI didn't participate in the deliberation.  |  |  |  |
| 2.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/23/000044<br>HRF-10071 Tablet<br>120mg and<br>Lamivudine | M/s Synapse<br>Labs Pvt. Ltd | The firm presented its proposal for<br>conduct of Phase I clinical trial<br>alongwith preclinical data before the<br>committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee recommended for grant of<br>permission to conduct the proposed<br>study as presented by the firm.  |  |  |  |

| Sr.<br>No. | File Name & Drug<br>Name, Strength  | Firm Name                              | Recommendations  |
|------------|---|--|--|
| 3.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/22/000043<br>HRF-10071 Tablet<br>120mg and<br>Darunavir and<br>Ritonavir | M/s Veeda<br>Clinical<br>Research Ltd. | The firm presented the Phase I Clinical<br>study report to evaluate the<br>Pharmacokinetic interactions between<br>HRF-10071 and Darunavir and<br>Ritonavir before the committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee noted the results of the<br>Phase I clinical study & agreed to the<br>firm's request.   |
| 4.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/22/000058<br>Desidustat Tablets<br>150mg                                 | M/s Zydus<br>Lifesciences<br>Limited   | The firm presented the Phase I Clinical<br>study report to evaluate the<br>comparative pharmacokinetic study of<br>Desidustat 150 mg of M/s. Zydus<br>Lifesciences Ltd, India + Zyrova<br>containing Rosuvastatin Calcium 10<br>mg of M/s Zydus Healthcare Ltd., India<br>with Zyrova containing Rosuvastatin<br>Calcium 10 mg of M/s Zydus<br>Healthcare Ltd., India in healthy, adult,<br>human subjects under fasting condition<br>before the committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee noted the results of the<br>Phase I clinical study & agreed to the<br>firm's request. |
| 5.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/23/000040<br>LNP3693   | M/s Lupin<br>Limited                   | The firm presented its proposal to<br>conduct Phase I clinical trial alongwith<br>in-vitro and in-vivo preclinical data<br>before the committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee recommended for grant of<br>permission for conduct of the Phase I<br>clinical trial as per the presented<br>protocol subject to the conditions that<br>the chief eligibility criteria should be<br>patients with advanced cancer, with<br>refractory or recurrent disease who<br>have failed at least two lines of therapy<br>and have no further access to curative<br>therapy.                 |
| 6.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/21/000014<br>MKP10241  | M/s Mankind<br>Pharma<br>Limited       | The firm presented interim clinical<br>safety report for the IND MKP10241 in<br>Single Ascending Dose (SAD) study<br>(Strength: 200mg, 300mg and 400mg)<br>and requested for approval of further<br>phase I clinical trial of MKP10241 for   |

| Sr.<br>No. | File Name & Drug<br>Name, Strength   | Firm Name                              | Recommendations   |
|------------|--|--|---|
|            |  |  | Multiple Ascending Dose (MAD) (For<br>Strength: 100mg, 200mg and 400mg)<br>before the committee.<br>The committee noted the results in<br>SAD of the phase I clinical study<br>(Strength: 200mg, 300mg and 400mg)<br>& agreed to the firm's request.<br>After detailed deliberation, the  |
|            |  |  | committee recommended for grant of<br>permission for conduct of Multiple<br>Ascending Dose (MAD) phase I clinical<br>trial (For Strength: 100mg, 200mg and<br>400mg) as per the protocol presented<br>by the firm.  |
| 7.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/22/000056<br>HRF-10071 Tablet<br>120mg and<br>Rifampicin 600mg<br>Capsule.  | M/s Synergen<br>Bio Private<br>Limited | The firm presented the Phase I Clinical<br>study report to evaluate the<br>Pharmacokinetic interactions between<br>HRF-10071 and Rifampicin 600mg<br>Capsule before the committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee noted the results of the<br>Phase I clinical study & agreed to the<br>firm's request.   |
| 8.         | F. No.<br>IND/CT/23/000030<br>Nuvastatic® 1000<br>mg sachet<br>containing<br>effervescent powder<br>(Standardized<br>extract of<br>Orthosiphonstamine<br>us<br>leaves) | M/s Synergen<br>Bio Pvt. Ltd           | In light of earlier SEC (Oncology &<br>Haematology) dated 09.02.2023, the<br>firm presented its proposal to conduct<br>Phase I clinical trial along with the in-<br>vitro and in-vivo preclinical data before<br>the committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee noted that the firm's<br>preclinical pharmacokinetic,<br>pharmacological and toxicological data<br>& characterization of minimum four<br>bio-active or phyto-chemical<br>compounds are inadequate for grant of<br>permission to conduct the Phase I<br>Clinical Trial.<br>In view of the above, after detailed<br>deliberation, the committee opined that<br>the firm's application cannot be<br>considered for approval and may be<br>rejected. |

| Sr.<br>No. | File Name & Drug<br>Name, Strength   | Firm Name                                     | Recommendations   |  |  |  |  |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|            | Biological Division (r-DNA)          |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9.         | F. No.<br>BIO/CT/22/000111<br>NM8074 | M/s Ablenio<br>Sciences<br>Private<br>Limited | In light of earlier SEC recommendation<br>dated 13.03.2023, the firm presented<br>revised Phase II clinical trial protocol<br>vide protocol no NM8074-aHUS-401<br>version 2.0 dated 27.04.2023 before<br>the committee.<br>After detailed deliberation, the<br>committee recommended for grant of<br>permission to conduct the Phase II<br>clinical trial as per the presented<br>protocol. |  |  |  |  |